

verification procedure with the addition of a Declaration of Conformity that would be included with each product marketed. In addition, we propose to permit any party to assemble computers from authorized CPU boards, power supplies, and enclosures without further testing provided the instructions accompanying the components are followed during assembly. Computers assembled in this fashion would also be accompanied by a Declaration of Conformity. Alternatively, the computer may be assembled using unauthorized components provided the resulting system is tested and accompanied by a Declaration of Conformity. While the measurement data, where required, must be retained by the responsible party, there is no requirement to file an application with, and obtain authorization from, the Commission prior to marketing or importation. Accordingly, we expect a significant decrease in the overall recordkeeping requirements.

Federal Rules Which Overlap, Duplicate or Conflict With These Rules: None.

Description, Potential Impact and Number of Small Entities Involved: The actions proposed in this proceeding will result in a significant decrease in the amount of testing and Commission authorization of computer systems. Currently, every combination of components used to make a basic computer system must be tested and authorized prior to marketing or importation. This is extremely burdensome, especially on small manufacturers. Under the proposal, as long as authorized components are used to assemble the computers no additional testing or Commission authorization would be required. However, there will be some impact to the entities that manufacture computer CPU boards, power supplies and enclosures. We estimate there are 50–75 manufacturers of CPU boards and a similar number of manufacturers of power supplies. No estimate is available on the potential number of manufacturers of enclosures. Even with this additional impact to the manufacturers of computer CPU boards, power supplies and enclosures, the overall workload will decrease.

Any Significant Alternatives Minimizing the Impact on Small Entities Consistent with Stated Objectives: None.

List of Subjects

47 CFR Part 2

Imports, Radio, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

47 CFR Part 15

Computer technology, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Federal Communications Commission,

William F. Caton

Acting Secretary

[FR Doc. 95–6965 Filed 3–21–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–M

47 CFR Part 63

[IB Docket No. 95–22; DA 95–502; RM–8355; and RM–8392]

Foreign-Affiliated Entities: In the Matter of Market Entry and Regulation

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule; extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission has granted an extension of time in which to file comments and reply comments to its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on Market Entry and Regulation of Foreign-Affiliated Entities. The Commission acted in response to Telefonica Larga Distancia de Puerto Rico, Inc.'s (TLD) motion for an extension of time. Because of the broad range of complex legal, economic and policy issues raised in the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, the Commission recognized the importance of receiving a complete and balanced presentation on the numerous issues, and found that an extension of time would help achieve this objective. The Commission, however, limited the extension of time to two weeks beyond the original due date for both the comments and reply comments. In addition to being concerned about a complete and balanced presentation on the issues, the Commission is equally interested in completing this proceeding in a timely manner, therefore it limited the requested extension to two weeks beyond the original due date.

As a result of the Commission order, the due date for comments in this proceeding has been extended to April 11, 1995, and the due date for the reply comments has been extended May 12, 1995.

DATES: Comments due April 11, 1995; Reply Comments due May 12, 1995.

ADDRESSES: All comments and reply comments concerning this Notice of Proposed Rulemaking should be addressed to: Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, Washington, DC 20554. Comments and reply comments will be available for public inspection during regular business hours in the FCC Reference

Center (Room 239) of the Federal Communications Commission, 1919 M St., NW., Washington, DC 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Troy Tanner or Ken Schagrin, International Bureau (202) 418–1470.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Order

Adopted: March 15, 1995.

Released: March 15, 1995.

By the Chief, International Bureau: 1. Telefonica Larga Distancia de Puerto Rico, Inc. (TLD) requests that the time for filing Comments and Reply Comments to the *Notice of Proposed Rulemaking*¹ be extended four weeks. TRW Inc., IDB Mobile Communications, Inc., and AmericaTel Corporation join TLD in this request.

2. This proceeding seeks comments on a broad range of complex legal, economic and policy issues involving the entry and regulation of foreign-affiliated entities in the U.S. telecommunications market. The issues raised have been the subject of much debate in recent years, and the Commission is interested in receiving a complete and balanced presentation on the numerous issues. While the Commission recognizes the wide range of issues to be addressed, it is also interested in completing this proceeding in a timely manner. Therefore, the Commission will limit the requested extension of time for Comments and Reply Comments to two weeks from the original due dates of March 28, 1995, and April 28, 1995, respectively.

3. Although the Bureau does not routinely grant extension requests, we find that an extension of the deadline for Comments to April 11, 1995, would be beneficial in this proceeding as it would enable the parties to fully develop their positions on the many issues raised in this proceeding. In addition, the Bureau will extend the deadline for filing Reply Comments to May 12, 1995. The parties should note, however, that the Bureau remains committed to completing this proceeding in a timely manner and that no further extensions are contemplated.

4. Accordingly, pursuant to § 0.261 of the Commission's rules, 47 CFR 0.261, it is ordered that the deadline for filing Comments to the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking is extended to April 11, 1995, and the deadline for filing Reply Comments is extended to May 12, 1995.

Federal Communications Commission.

Scott Blake Harris,

Chief, International Bureau.

[FR Doc. 95–7017 Filed 3–21–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–M

¹ *Notice of Proposed Rulemaking*, IB Docket No. 95–22, RM–8355, RM–8392 (Released February 17, 1995), 60 FR 11644, March 2, 1995.

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

49 CFR Chapter V

[Docket No. 95-16, Notice 2]

Meeting on Regulatory Reform

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of public meeting; request for comments.

SUMMARY: This notice announces a public meeting at which NHTSA will seek information from the public on regulatory reform actions the agency should take related to its motor vehicle regulations. This notice also invites written comments on the same subject.

DATES: Public meeting: The meeting will be held on April 7, 1995 at 9:30 a.m. Those wishing to make oral presentations at the meeting should contact Deborah Parker, at the address or telephone number listed below, April 4, 1995.

Written comments: Written comments are due by April 7, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Public meeting: The public meeting will be held at the following location: Room 2230, Nassif Building, 400 7th Street SW., Washington, DC 20590.

Written comments: All written comments should be mailed to the Docket Section, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, Room 5109, 400 7th Street SW., Washington, DC 20590. Please refer to the docket number when submitting written comments.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Deborah Parker, Director, Special Projects Staff, NPS 01.1, NHTSA, 400 7th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590 (telephone 202-366-4931).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Calling for a new approach to the way Government regulates the private sector President Clinton asked Executive Branch agencies to report to him by June 1, 1995, on ways to improve the regulatory process. Specifically, the President requested that agencies: (1) Cut obsolete regulations; (2) reward agency and regulator performance by rewarding results, not red tape; (3) create grassroots partnerships by meeting, outside of Washington, DC, with those affected by regulations and other interested parties; and (4) use consensual rulemaking, such as regulatory negotiation, more frequently. This public meeting will help NHTSA to comply with the President's directives.

The agency is focussing at this time on items (1) and (4) described above. For item (1), cut obsolete regulations, the President requested that we "conduct a page-by-page review of all * * * agency regulations now in force and eliminate or revise those that are outdated or otherwise in need of reform." The President requested that our review include consideration of at least the following:

- "Is this regulation obsolete?
- Could its intended goal be achieved in more efficient, less intrusive ways?
- Are there better private sector alternatives, such as market mechanisms, that can better achieve the public good envisioned by the regulation?
- Could private business, setting its own standards and being subject to public accountability, do the job as well?
- Could the States or local governments do the job, making Federal regulation unnecessary?"

To assist NHTSA in responding to this directive, the public's views on which *Motor Vehicle*-related regulations (standards, rules, etc., are all used interchangeably for this purpose) should be rescinded or revised are requested (the agency also is reviewing its non-motor vehicle related regulations but they are not the subject of this meeting). Both administratively issued and statutorily mandated regulations are the subject of this review. Suggestions should be accompanied by a rationale for the action and the expected consequences. Recommendations should be based on at least the following considerations:

- Cost-effectiveness.
- Administrative/compliance burdens.
- Whether the standard is performance-oriented, as opposed to design-oriented or is technology-restricting.
- Small business effects.
- Frequency of rulemaking to amend or clarify requirements (including inconsequentiality petitions).
- Availability of voluntary industry standards.
- Obsolete requirements.
- Enforceability of the standard.
- Whether the standard reflects a "common sense" approach to solving the problem.

In considering the consequences of any recommendation please provide the best available information on any effects on safety, consumer costs, regulated party testing/certification costs, small business impacts, competition, etc.

By motor vehicle-related regulations, NHTSA means all those standards/rules

related to safety, fuel economy, theft, consumer information, damageability, and domestic content. The standards themselves and all related record-keeping and procedural requirements are included. Parts 520-594 of Title 49, Transportation, of the Code of Federal Regulations are encompassed.

This will be the second public meeting held on this subject. The first public meeting will be held in conjunction with and immediately after the agency's previously scheduled quarterly technical meeting, in Romulus, Michigan, on March 29, 1995.

With regard to item (4), consensual rulemaking, the agency wants recommendations on which active rulemakings—not those rules already in effect—would be appropriate candidates for the regulatory negotiation process. Bear in mind that these must be rulemakings in which the various interested parties would be willing to negotiate solutions. Currently, the agency is conducting a regulatory negotiation on the subject of optical headlamp aim.

Procedural Matters

As noted at the beginning of this notice, persons wishing to speak at the public meeting should contact Deborah Parker by the indicated date. To facilitate communication, NHTSA will provide auxiliary aids (e.g., sign-language interpreter, braille materials, large print materials and/or a magnifying device) to participants as necessary, during the meeting. Thus, any person desiring assistance of auxiliary aids should contact Ms. Barbara Carnes, NHTSA Office of Safety Performance Standards, telephone (202) 366-1810, no later than April 3, 1995.

Those speaking at the public meeting should limit their presentation to 20 minutes. If the presentation will include slides, motion pictures, or other visual aids, the presenters should bring at least one copy to the meeting so that NHTSA can readily include the material in the public record.

NHTSA staff at the meeting may ask questions of any speaker, and any participant may submit written questions for the NHTSA staff, at its discretion, to address to other meeting participants. There will be no opportunity for participants directly to question each other. If time permits, persons who have not requested time, but would like to make a statement, will be afforded an opportunity to do so.

A schedule of participants making oral presentation will be available at the designated meeting room. NHTSA will place a copy of any written statement in the docket for this notice. A verbatim

transcript of the meeting will be prepared and also placed in the NHTSA docket as soon as possible after the meeting.

Participation in the meeting is not a prerequisite for the submission of written comments. NHTSA invites written comments from all interested parties. It is requested but not required that 10 copies be submitted.

If a commenter wishes to submit certain information under a claim of confidentiality, three copies of the complete submission, including

purportedly confidential business information, should be submitted to the Chief Counsel, NHTSA, at the street address given above, and copies from which the purportedly confidential information has been deleted should be submitted to the Docket Section. A request for confidentiality should be accompanied by a cover letter setting forth the information specified in the agency's confidential business information regulation. 49 CFR Part 512.

All comments received before the close of business on the comment

closing date indicated above will be considered. Comments will be available for inspection in the docket.

NHTSA will continue to file relevant information as it becomes available in the docket after the closing date. It is therefore recommended that interested persons continue to examine the docket for new material.

Barry Felrice,

*Associate Administrator for Safety
Performance Standards.*

[FR Doc. 95-7020 Filed 3-17-95; 3:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 4910-59-P